Dear Mrs XXX, Dear Mr XXX,

The Revue d'histoire des sciences (Paris) has just received the following manuscript:

We are writing to you in the hope that you will accept to referee this article as regards its SCIENTIFIC QUALITY:

- overall impression;
- remarks on specific issues.

In particular, could you please answer the following questions when relevant:

- Does the article pertain to the history of science?
- Is the interpretation justified by the data?
- Is the reasoning clear and precise?
- Are the bibliographical references up to date?
- Is this an original or new contribution to the history of science?

Your advice concerning the STYLE would be also appreciated:

- structure and outline of the paper;
- quality of writing;
- adequacy of critical apparatus;
- accuracy of citations and bibliographical references.

Finally, we need a CONCLUSION, for the review, stating if, according to you, the paper must be:

- "accepted in its present form";
- "accepted with minor revisions":
- "revised and resubmitted";
- "rejected",

and letting us know if you would accept to review the revised version of the paper.

You will find a copy of the manuscript in attached file.

PLEASE LET US KNOW AS SOON AS POSSIBLE IF YOU AGREE TO REFEREE THIS ARTICLE (in French or English, as you prefer), and if so, we should be grateful if you could send us your advice within about 4 weeks, as we wish to inform the author of our decision without delay.

Best regards,

w/o the Editorial Board of the *Revue d'histoire des sciences*, Editorial management